Electronic File Naming Conventions

Data and Content Management Division, Enterprise Content Management Branch

Version: 1.1

Approved by:	Owner:	
Maureen Towle, Executive Director,	Executive Director, Enterprise Content	
Enterprise Information Management	Management Branch	
Approval Date:	Last Reviewed:	Review Date:
June 1, 2019	August 2024	August 2026
June 1, 2019 Contact:	August 2024 Policy Instrument typ	

Alberta

Classification: Public

Guideline Statement

Naming conventions are rules applied to electronic documents, folders, and other files (e.g., graphic files, spreadsheets, workflow diagrams, etc.). Naming electronic files consistently, logically, and in a predictable way distinguishes them from one another at a glance and facilitates reliable storage and retrieval.

Authority

This guideline is issued under the authority of the <u>Government Organization Act</u> and the Records Management Regulation.

Under the Records Management Regulation, Technology and Innovation has the authority to establish, maintain, and promote the enterprise policies, standards, and procedures for the creation, handling, control, organization, retention, maintenance, security, preservation, disposition, alienation, and destruction of records in the custody and/or under the control of a Government of Alberta (GoA) department or departments.

Application

This guideline applies to all departments defined under section 14 of Schedule 11 of the *Government Organization Act* and agencies, boards, and commissions as defined in schedule 1 of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Regulation.

Agencies, boards, and commissions that are not contained within Schedule 1 of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Regulation are encouraged to align with this guideline.

Guideline Description

This guideline provides requirements and best practices when naming electronic documents in systems and applications.

Guideline Specification Metadata

In accordance with the <u>Metadata – Core Content Standard</u>, there are five mandatory metadata elements that must be applied to all content in the custody and/or under the control of the GoA: Creator, Date Created, Date Modified, Title, and Security Classification.

Various file naming convention best practices (as outlined below) may be irrelevant if a business area consistently and accurately uses metadata (e.g., grouping electronic documents by topic, function, activity, process, or type). Where possible, business areas should capture file-related details by using metadata.

Mandatory metadata should not be included when naming electronic documents unless necessary (e.g., exporting copies out of a system or application, naming documents in a shared drive, etc.).

Formats

 Dates: If inclusion of a date in the filename is necessary (e.g., meeting minutes and agendas), it must be formatted as YYYY-MM-DD.

https://imtpolicy.sp.alberta.ca

- **Names:** If inclusion of a person's name in the filename is necessary, it should be formatted as surname followed by initials (e.g., SmithB_Consultation.pdf).
- Numbers: Use two-digit numbering (e.g., 01, 02, etc.).

Best Practices

- Keep electronic document names short but meaningful; ideally a title that is no more than 25 characters in length (e.g., "Government of Alberta Capital Plan for Fiscal Year 2021" could be named "Capital-Plan-2021.pdf").
- Ensure the electronic document name accurately describes the content and the context of the electronic document (e.g., "Capital-Plan-2021.doc" instead of "CP.doc" or "Document01.doc").
- Avoid repetition and/or redundant words when naming electronic documents (e.g., repeating words in folder and file names, such as "Information Organization Scheme\Governance\Strategic and
 - BusinessPlanning\MinistryBusinessPlan\Business Plan 2014-2017.xls").
- Use consistent names within a business area to easily group electronic documents by topic, function, activity, process, or type.
- If inclusion of a version in the name of an electronic document is necessary (e.g., the electronic document is being managed in a system that does not support integrated versioning), it must be applied consistently.
 - o It is recommended that versioning be limited to "DRAFT", "REVIEW", and "FINAL", as numbered versions require specific criteria (e.g., what constitutes a major or minor version) and diligent upkeep.
- Be aware that including personally identifiable information (such as a person's name) in the name of an electronic document may create privacy issues—consider only including personally identifiable information where absolutely necessary and ensure that personally identifiable information is managed in accordance with Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FOIP) requirements.
 - For more information regarding FOIP requirements, business areas should reach out to the appropriate <u>FOIP contact</u> in their department.
- Avoid the use of special characters (e.g., ~, ", #, %, &, *, :, <, ?, {, etc.).
- Use hyphens or underscores to break up titles for readability and improved search results (e.g., Capital-Plan-2021.pdf or Capital_Plan_2021.pdf instead of capitalplan2021.pdf).
- Avoid using acronyms, abbreviations, or initialisms unless they are commonly understood (e.g., FOIP for Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy).
- Avoid words such as "the", "if", "but", "so", "for", etc., as these are typically excluded from search results.

Benefits

- Creates uniformity in naming electronic documents.
- Supports reliable retrieval of the correct information.
- Decreases the amount of time spent locating and retrieving information.
- Potentially reduces duplicate items, especially duplicate items with different names.
- Supports the use of enhanced information management tools, such as controlled vocabulary, SharePoint metadata fields, index optimization, performance metrics and reporting, and metadata analysis.

Compliance

Non-compliance with this guideline could result in: the loss of content; breach of confidentiality; breach of privileged information; significant impact to the GoA's proprietary rights; damage to the GoA's reputation, exposure of Albertans to harm and/or incurrence of unnecessary costs (including, but not limited to, inability to respond appropriately to a claim in court). Depending on the severity of non-compliance:

- either informal or formal requests and/or follow-ups may be made by Enterprise Content Management, Corporate Internal Audit Services, Cybersecurity, Office of the Information Privacy Commissioner, and/or Public Service Commission, and
- legislated disciplinary action (i.e., Public Service Act) may be taken.

References and Supporting Resources

- Data Exchange Standard Date, Time, Date and Time
- Data and Information Security Classification Standard
- Metadata Core Content Standard

https://imtpolicy.sp.alberta.ca